A Working Hypothesis on the Origins of the *Fundamentos de la Sabiduría Hiperbórea*

M. V. Hartmann

Without wisdom, we fail.

Introduction

This document presents a working hypothesis concerning the origins and transmission of the *Fundamentos de la Sabiduría Hiperbórea* and the deeper operational layers of *El Misterio de Belicena Villca*.

The standard public narrative — which attributes the material primarily to Luis Felipe Moyano as a solitary scholar, or reduces the underlying research to the personal occult interests of Heinrich Himmler — fails to account for the depth, coherence, and long-term strategic character of the work.

The model developed here proposes instead that the core of the material was produced by a small, high-calibre, compartmentalised group (referred to as **Tier 3**) that operated with significant autonomy inside and alongside SS research institutions. This group used the institutional resources of the period as a temporary vehicle while maintaining its own strategic and initiatory priorities. After 1945, the surviving members continued the work in exile, eventually transmitting the material through Moyano in the mid-1980s.

The hypothesis was constructed through close analysis of the texts themselves — including stylistic and tonal variation, internal structure, and the conditions of their survival and publication — together with known historical patterns of SS research activity. It remains a working model rather than a definitive historical reconstruction. Its purpose is to provide a more coherent framework for understanding the material on its own terms.

Current Model Summary

The *Fundamentos de la Sabiduría Hiperbórea* and the deeper operational layers of *El Misterio de Belicena Villca* are understood as the product of long-term strategic and initiatory work carried out by a small, high-quality inner group (**Tier 3**) that used SS institutions as a temporary vehicle while maintaining substantial autonomy.

Luis Felipe Moyano is viewed as a secondary but necessary figure in the transmission. He was trusted at a critical moment to receive and publish the material, particularly shaping the narrative layer in *MBV*. He was not the primary researcher or intellectual force behind the work's depth and coherence. Claims that attribute the full scale of research (such as the "5000 books" legend) to Moyano personally are treated as later mythologisation of a much larger collective effort.

The Flawed Public Narrative Around Himmler and Public SS Researchers

A common public and popular narrative portrays Heinrich Himmler as the central, eccentric driver of occult and esoteric research within the SS, with visible figures such as Otto Rahn depicted as holding meaningful authority or functioning as "chief investigators" of occult matters. According to this view, SS esoteric research was largely an extension of Himmler's personal interests and mystical inclinations, resulting in a somewhat disorganised or hobbyist-style programme of expeditions, symbolism collection, and speculative inquiry.

This narrative has significant limitations. It tends to over-personalise and over-centralise Himmler while underestimating the existence of deeper, more autonomous layers within the SS research apparatus. It also struggles to account for the depth, coherence, and long-term strategic character of certain lines of work that emerged from this period. By focusing heavily on Himmler's visible interests and on publicly prominent researchers, this narrative creates an image of top-down, personality-driven research that does not fully align

with the evidence of sustained, high-quality, and strategically disciplined work that continued well beyond the war.

The Tier 3 model offers a more coherent explanation of the available historical patterns. It proposes the existence of a small, closed inner group that operated with significant autonomy inside the broader SS structure. This group used SS institutions, funding, and authority as instruments while maintaining its own strategic direction and compartmentalisation. In this model:

- **Himmler and the visible SS leadership (Tier 1)** provided resources and institutional cover but were not the primary drivers of the deepest strategic or initiatory work.
- **Public or semi-public researchers such as Otto Rahn** operated at the Tier 2 level — useful specialists who were given resources and direction but deliberately kept outside the inner circle and its deeper framework.
- **The most serious and coherent work** was conducted by Tier 3, a small group whose psychological profile, long-term orientation, and operational methods better explain both the quality of the research produced and its survival and continuation in exile after 1945.

This model accounts more effectively for several observed facts: the existence of intellectually rigorous and strategically coherent material that goes well beyond what Himmler's personal eccentricities would be expected to generate; the pattern of compartmentalisation and controlled use of Tier 2 assets; and the ability of serious work to continue after the collapse of the SS as an institution. It treats Himmler's visible interests as real but secondary to the existence of a more disciplined and autonomous core operating beneath the surface of the official apparatus.

While the popular narrative is not entirely without basis, it tends to flatten the internal structure of SS research and overemphasise visible personalities at the expense of less visible but more strategically significant layers. The Tier 3 model provides a more precise and explanatory framework for understanding both the nature of the work produced and the methods by which it was conducted and preserved.

Tier 3: Nature and Composition

Tier 3 is modelled as a small, closed, high-calibre group that existed both inside and alongside the formal SS structure. They were not typical SS officers or public ideologues. They were strategic and initiatory thinkers who used the resources, protection, and research apparatus of the SS while maintaining their own coherence and long-term objectives.

They are understood to have consisted of a core of four primary profiles with complementary strengths:

- **Profile A (The Synthesizer / Metaphysician)**
  The youngest and most intellectually integrative member. Responsible for pulling together the metaphysical, noological, and strategic framework into a coherent whole. Likely the primary authorial voice behind the most philosophically dense sections of the *Fundamentos*. Believed to have been the last surviving member of the core group and the one who worked directly with Moyano at the end.

- **Profile C (The Historical-Strategic / Esoteric Networker)**
  The oldest and most experienced member. Had deep roots in pre-Nazi esoteric and völkisch circles (possibly including Thule Society networks). Served as the primary bridge to figures like Otto Rahn and managed sensitive historical and Grail-related research lines. Likely had the strongest personal connections to older initiatory currents.

- **Profiles B and D**
  More specialised roles (structural/systematic thinking and runic/lithic specialisation respectively). Less clearly defined at present but understood to have contributed critical technical and specialised knowledge.

Tier 3 is hypothesised to have operated with a high degree of operational security and autonomy. Their primary loyalty was to the long-term strategic current rather than to the Nazi regime or Himmler personally. They used

SS resources and authority when useful, but were prepared to operate independently when necessary.

Moyano's Role (Current Hypothesis)

Moyano is understood as a transmission figure, not as the primary creator of the material's depth.

Key elements of the current hypothesis:

- He was trusted by the final surviving member(s) of Tier 3 (particularly Profile A) at a critical moment in the mid-1980s.
- He likely functioned in a dual capacity as both scribe (taking dictation or recording oral sessions from the final author) and translator/editor (shaping written material into publishable form).
- The First Letter to the Elect is hypothesised to contain the final author's (Profile A's) parting testimony, with Moyano possibly completing or framing the second half after the author's death.
- Moyano's later public persona and the exaggerated claims around his personal scholarship (including the "5000 books" legend) are viewed as mythologisation, whether deliberate or emergent. These claims likely originated as distorted memories of the actual scale of Tier 3's collective research effort.
- Moyano was useful and necessary for the material to reach publication, but he lacked the depth, strategic clarity, and initiatory grounding of the original group. This explains both the brilliance of certain sections and the noticeable inconsistencies or "slips" in others (particularly in *MBV*).

This model allows the material to be worked with strategically while accounting for both its strengths and its imperfections.

Tier Structure (Tier 1, 2, and 3)

A three-tier internal model describes Tier 3's relationship with the broader SS apparatus:

- **Tier 1**
  The visible, political, and institutional leadership of the SS (most notably Himmler and his immediate apparatus). Tier 1 provided funding, official authority, and institutional cover. They were largely kept at arm's length from the deeper strategic and initiatory work. Tier 3 used Tier 1 when useful but did not fully align with its political goals or broad ideology.

- **Tier 2**
  The operational and specialist layer. This included field researchers, archaeologists, historians, and technical specialists who carried out specific tasks (such as expeditions, archival work, and targeted research). Otto Rahn is understood as a classic Tier 2 asset — useful for his skills and connections, but not part of the inner strategic circle. Tier 2 individuals were directed and overseen by Tier 3 but were not granted full access to the deeper initiatory or strategic framework.

- **Tier 3**
  The small, closed inner group responsible for long-term strategic direction, synthesis, and initiatory coherence. They maintained autonomy even while operating inside the SS structure. Their primary loyalty was to the long-term current rather than to the SS as an organisation or to National Socialism as a political movement. This tier is the group responsible for the core intellectual and strategic content of the *Fundamentos*.

This tiered structure allowed Tier 3 to extract maximum utility from SS resources while protecting the deeper work from political interference and from individuals who lacked the necessary initiatory or strategic capacity.

Nature of Tier 3's Relationship with the SS

Tier 3's relationship with the SS was instrumental and strategic, not ideological or personal.

- **Pre-war / Early period**
  Some members (particularly Profile C) had pre-existing connections in esoteric and völkisch networks that predated the Nazi regime. These networks provided recruitment channels and insulation.

- **During the war (1933–1945)**
  Tier 3 used SS research institutions (especially the Ahnenerbe), funding, authority to seize materials, and operational cover. However, they maintained significant autonomy and did not fully identify with the regime's public ideology or mass-political goals. They treated the SS as a powerful but temporary vehicle.

- **Post-war**
  After 1945, Tier 3 largely severed its connection to the SS as an organisation. The surviving members continued their work in exile (primarily Argentina) as an autonomous current. The SS was no longer useful or safe as a vehicle.

This instrumental relationship explains both the depth of resources available to them during the war and their ability to continue independently afterward.

Speculated Age Ranges of the Tier 3 Profiles

Based on the working hypothesis that Profile A (the youngest and final surviving core member) died around August 1985, the following age ranges have been developed:

- **Profile A (The Synthesizer)**
  Born approximately 1905–1912. This would have made him roughly 33–40 years old in 1945 and 73–80 years old at the time of his death in 1985. He is understood to have been the intellectual integrator of the group and likely the last active member.

- **Profile C (Historical-Strategic / Networker)**
  Believed to be the oldest member. Likely born in the 1890s to very early 1900s. This would have placed him in his 40s or early 50s during the peak SS period and made him meaningfully senior to figures such as Otto Rahn (born 1904). His age and pre-existing esoteric network connections support the view that he played a bridging and supervisory role with Tier 2 assets.

- **Profiles B and D**
  Their ages are less clearly defined but are generally understood to fall between Profile A and Profile C. They were likely born in the first decade of the 20th century.

These age ranges are consistent with the model that Tier 3 was composed of individuals who were already intellectually and esoterically mature during the 1930s and who carried the work through into old age in exile.

Psychological Profiles of the Tier 3 Members

While any psychological assessment of the Tier 3 profiles must remain speculative, certain patterns can be reasonably inferred from the nature of their work, the structure they maintained, and the strategic demands they operated under over several decades.

General Psychological Orientation of Tier 3

As a group, Tier 3 appears to have been characterised by a high degree of strategic detachment, long-term thinking, and emotional discipline. The work they undertook required the ability to maintain coherent purpose across war, defeat, exile, and aging, while operating in an environment of extreme risk and

compartmentalisation. This suggests individuals who were capable of subordinating immediate emotional, ideological, or personal concerns to longer-term strategic objectives.

The consistent emphasis on compartmentalisation and operational security also points to a group that was psychologically comfortable with secrecy, limited trust, and the calculated use of assets when necessary.

Profile A – The Synthesizer / Metaphysician

Profile A is understood as the youngest core member and the primary intellectual integrator of the group. His psychological profile likely included:

- Strong integrative and systems-thinking capacities. He was able to take disparate lines of research, metaphysical concepts, and strategic considerations and synthesise them into a coherent whole.
- A temperament oriented toward clarity and structure rather than emotional or mythic expression. While capable of rigorous metaphysical thought, he appears to have prioritised operational coherence over dramatic or inspirational presentation.
- Patience and long-term focus. As the likely final surviving member, he continued the work into old age and appears to have focused on systematising and preserving the material rather than seeking personal recognition or dramatic action.
- A degree of strategic realism. His hypothesised role in overseeing the final transmission suggests he was capable of making difficult, pragmatic decisions (such as passing the material through Moyano) when the survival of the work required it.

Overall, Profile A is imagined as a disciplined, intellectually rigorous, and strategically sober individual — more engineer and architect of systems than visionary or ideologue.

Profile C – The Historical-Strategic / Networker

Profile C is understood as the oldest member and the one with the deepest roots in pre-war esoteric and völkisch networks. His psychological profile likely included:

- High situational awareness and political/strategic pragmatism. His role in managing relationships with Tier 2 figures (such as Otto Rahn) and navigating the SS environment suggests someone who was skilled at operating within complex and dangerous institutional structures.
- A more “operator” than “theorist” temperament. While intellectually capable, his primary value appears to have lain in his ability to connect networks, manage assets, and secure resources and information over long periods.
- Caution and compartmentalisation as core traits. His likely oversight of sensitive historical-strategic lines of research would have required the ability to share information selectively and to make hard decisions about when to distance or remove assets.
- A long historical perspective. Having been active in esoteric circles since before the Nazi period, he would have been psychologically accustomed to working across changing political environments and to viewing the current as something that predated and would likely outlast any particular regime.

Profile C is imagined as pragmatic, networked, and strategically ruthless when necessary — someone who understood power, institutions, and human leverage, and who was willing to use them in service of longer-term objectives.

Complementary Dynamics

The psychological profiles of A and C appear to have complemented each other effectively. Profile A provided the integrative, metaphysical, and structural depth, while Profile C provided the historical knowledge, network connections, and pragmatic operational experience. This combination would have allowed the small group to maintain both intellectual coherence and practical effectiveness across very difficult decades.

Profile D: Recruitment, Integration, and Indispensability

Profile D is understood as the member of Tier 3 whose primary specialisation lay in runic and lithic matters. While the other profiles had broader or more integrative roles, Profile D appears to have been the group's leading specialist in these technically demanding and strategically sensitive areas.

Recruitment from Tier 2

It is likely that Profile D did not begin as a core member of Tier 3. Instead, he was probably first identified and utilised at the Tier 2 level — as a specialist whose knowledge of runes, lithic arrangements, and related subjects made him useful to the broader research effort. In the early phases of SS-supported work, individuals with genuine specialised expertise in these fields were relatively rare. Profile D would have stood out as someone who could provide precise, grounded insight rather than purely ideological or speculative interpretations.

Over time, however, it became apparent that his field was not merely technical or auxiliary. As the group's understanding deepened — particularly through the synthesising work of Profile A — it became clear that runic and lithic knowledge had significant strategic and metaphysical implications. What may have originally been viewed as a supporting specialisation gradually revealed itself to be central to key aspects of the developing framework.

Entry into Tier 2 via Ahnenerbe-linked Networks

Profile D likely entered the broader research environment as part of a younger generation of runic and lithic specialists who were building upon the work of older figures from the pre-war völkisch period. His potential involvement in Ahnenerbe-linked publications and projects would have provided a natural entry point. Contributions to journals such as *Germanien*, or participation in related research circles, would have brought him to the attention of those managing SS-supported work. In this way, he could have been identified and initially utilised at the Tier 2 level as a specialist whose knowledge made him useful to the wider research effort.

Integration into Tier 3

At a certain point, Profile D was formally brought into the inner circle and initiated as a core member of Tier 3. This elevation would not have been granted lightly. It indicates that his contributions had proven indispensable to the group's larger objectives. Once integrated, he would have participated directly in the strategic and metaphysical synthesis that defined Tier 3's work, rather than remaining at the level of a specialised consultant or researcher.

Indispensability to the Strategic Vision

Profile D's indispensability likely stemmed from the recognition — most probably by Profile A — that his specialised knowledge was not peripheral but structurally important to the overall strategic vision. As Profile A worked to integrate various lines of research into a coherent metaphysical and operational system, he appears to have identified that runic and lithic phenomena held deeper significance than had previously been appreciated. This realisation would have made someone with Profile D's expertise essential rather than merely useful.

Once this connection was established, Profile D's role would have expanded beyond technical consultation. He would have become a necessary participant in the ongoing development of the framework itself. His integration into Tier 3 reflects the group's pragmatic willingness to elevate individuals whose specialised knowledge proved to have wider strategic and initiatory importance, even if they had not been part of the original core.

This view of Profile D also helps explain why someone with a relatively narrow-sounding specialisation ended up as one of the four central profiles. His field was not narrow in its implications — only in its surface appearance. Once those implications were properly understood, his presence at the highest level became strategically necessary.

Stylistic and Tonal Variations Between Profiles

These differences are consistent enough that they support the idea of multiple authors (or at least multiple dominant voices) rather than a single writer.

Profile A – The Metaphysical Synthesizer (Most Distinct Voice)

Characteristics:

- Highly abstract and noological
- Precise, structured, and architectonic in its reasoning
- Tends toward long, carefully constructed sentences that build complex metaphysical arguments
- Often cold and detached in tone, even when discussing spiritually significant topics
- Strong emphasis on logical coherence, definitions, and systematic relationships between concepts
- Frequently introduces new terminology or redefines existing terms with great care

Typical Sections:
Much of the metaphysical and noological core (especially in Part I); sections dealing with the Spirit, the Selbst, the Archemon, and the fundamental structure of reality.

This is generally the most philosophically rigorous and abstract voice in the text. It feels like the work of someone whose primary strength was integration and metaphysical clarity.

Profile C – The Historical-Strategic Voice

Characteristics:

- More grounded and historically oriented than Profile A
- Tends to be more direct and pragmatic in tone
- Often references historical events, traditions, or strategic patterns rather than pure metaphysics
- Can be more concise and operational when discussing strategy, networks, or historical movements
- Occasionally shows a slightly more “political” or institutional awareness (though still within the overall strategic framework)

Typical Sections:
Parts dealing with historical strategy, the role of the Siddhas in history, and long-term patterns of opposition; sections that discuss how the current has manifested or been opposed across different periods.

This voice feels more like someone who thought in terms of historical forces, networks, and long-term strategic positioning.

Profile B – The Structural/Systematic Voice (Less Clearly Defined)

Characteristics:

- Shows a strong concern with structure, systems, and internal consistency
- Often focuses on how different elements relate within larger frameworks
- Can appear in sections that feel more “engineering-like” in their approach to metaphysical or strategic systems
- Sometimes overlaps with Profile A, but tends to be slightly less abstract and more focused on functional relationships

This voice is harder to isolate cleanly and may sometimes blend with Profile A’s writing.

Profile D – The Technical/Specialised Voice

Characteristics:

- More precise and technical when dealing with runic, lithic, or chorological subjects
- Can show a drier, more observational tone when discussing specific phenomena or structures
- Less inclined toward broad metaphysical speculation; more focused on particular domains of knowledge
- Occasionally appears in sections that feel like they were contributed by someone with deep specialised expertise rather than someone primarily concerned with overall synthesis

This voice is also relatively subtle and often appears in more localised sections rather than dominating large parts of the text.

Tier 2 Volume, Tier 3 Access, and Profile B's Organizational Role

During the period of institutional support (particularly 1933–1945), the broader SS research apparatus — especially through RSHA and Ahnenerbe channels — had access to an enormous volume of seized material. Historians estimate that Nazi agencies collectively seized hundreds of thousands to several million books, manuscripts, and artifacts across occupied Europe. Even if only a fraction of this material passed through Ahnenerbe and RSHA structures, Tier 2 elements were processing material on a scale of hundreds of thousands of items.

Tier 3 did not attempt to engage with this volume directly. Instead, they operated as a small, highly selective filtering and synthesis layer above the much larger Tier 2 apparatus. Their role was not mass processing, but the identification and deep integration of strategically and metaphysically relevant material. This gave them effective access to the "cream of the crop" of what was being seized, without being overwhelmed by the full scale of the operation.

This arrangement would have required robust internal systems and protocols to function effectively. It is likely that **Profile B** played a central role in designing and managing the organisational architecture that regulated how Tier 3 interacted with Tier 2. This would have included:

- Developing classification systems, evaluation protocols, and workflows for how material was flagged, prioritised, and escalated from Tier 2 to the core group
- Creating frameworks that allowed the small Tier 3 team to maintain quality control and strategic focus while still drawing effectively from the much larger volume of material being processed by Tier 2
- Structuring the internal division of labour so that Tier 3 could extract high-value signal without being drowned in noise

In addition to this organisational function, Profile B appears to have been a major intellectual contributor, with large sections of the *Fundamentos* (across both Part I and Part II) showing stylistic and structural characteristics consistent with his thinking. His combination of systematic, architectural reasoning and direct content contribution would have made him particularly well-suited to both shaping the internal systems and translating the results of that filtering process into coherent written form.

This dual role — organisational systems designer and major content contributor — positioned Profile B as one of the more operationally central figures in Tier 3, especially during the war years when the group still had institutional backing and was receiving a constant flow of new material.

Chronological Timeline of the Current

- **Medieval to early 19th century**
  Fragmented preservation of elements of the current through hidden Germanic and European esoteric lines, certain folk traditions, and individuals. No organised "Tier 3" existed in this period. Knowledge survived in dispersed and often degraded forms.

- **Late 19th / Early 20th century**
  Re-coalescence of the current through völkisch and esoteric networks (including circles connected to

the Thule Society). Profile C and possibly others were active in these networks.

- **1933–1945 (SS Period)**
  Peak institutional phase. Tier 3 used SS resources and authority to conduct large-scale research, seize materials, and synthesise knowledge. This is when the bulk of the research behind the *Fundamentos* was conducted.

- **1945 – mid-1980s**
  Survival and continuation in exile (primarily Argentina). The group aged and shrank. Profile A is believed to have been the last surviving core member.

- **Mid-1980s onward**
  Transmission phase. The material passed through Moyano and into publication. The original Tier 3 group as a living entity effectively ended with the death of its final member(s).

Narrative Speculation on Tier 3 in the Post-War Phase

Following the collapse of the SS and the end of the war in 1945, the surviving members of Tier 3 are understood to have faced a situation of extreme pressure and limited time.

The core group had aged significantly during the war years. Many of the older members (particularly those associated with Profile C and related networks) were already in their 40s or 50s by 1945. The post-war period in exile, primarily in Argentina, appears to have been characterised by a race against time to systematise and preserve what had been developed during the SS research phase.

This period is imagined as one of intense, focused work conducted under difficult conditions. The surviving members, now operating without institutional support and with declining numbers, worked to distil decades of research, strategic thinking, and initiatory understanding into a coherent and transmissible form.

The *Fundamentos* is viewed as the primary result of this effort — an attempt to create a stable, structured body of knowledge that could survive the deaths of the original group and reach future individuals capable of continuing the work.

This phase is understood as one of consolidation and transmission rather than new large-scale research. The priority was to ensure that the most essential elements of the work would not be lost with the passing of the final members of Tier 3. The decision to eventually pass the material through Moyano is seen as a pragmatic, if imperfect, solution to the problem of ensuring the work reached the outside world before the last of the original group died.

Advanced Research into Non-Aligned Lines of Inquiry as Evidence of Tier 3

One of the stronger indicators of Tier 3's existence and influence is the serious and sustained pursuit of certain lines of research that did not clearly or directly serve the immediate political, racial, or propagandistic goals of Tier 1 (Himmler and the visible SS leadership) or the broader Nazi regime.

Much of the research conducted under SS auspices was explicitly oriented toward supporting the regime's ideological and political objectives — racial anthropology, Germanic prehistory framed in service of national claims, symbolic propaganda, and similar areas. However, other lines of inquiry received significant resources, institutional protection, and long-term attention even though their connection to these short-term political goals was weak, indirect, or even potentially problematic.

Examples include:

- Sustained interest in Cathar history, Grail legends, and certain medieval heretical traditions (as seen in Otto Rahn's work and related expeditions)

- Serious investigation into megalithic structures, runic systems, and lithic arrangements that went beyond simple symbolic or propagandistic use
- Research into chorological and geographic patterns of spiritual or historical influence that had little obvious application to immediate racial or political narratives
- Deep engagement with metaphysical and initiatory frameworks that did not neatly map onto the regime's public ideology

These areas of research often required specialised knowledge, access to sensitive or seized materials, and long-term institutional support. Their persistence and depth are difficult to fully explain if one assumes that all SS research was tightly controlled by and aligned with Tier 1's political priorities. The level of seriousness with which some of these non-aligned or only loosely aligned lines of inquiry were pursued suggests the presence of an autonomous layer within the research apparatus — one that had its own strategic and initiatory priorities and was able to direct resources toward them even when they did not obviously advance the regime's immediate goals.

This pattern is consistent with the Tier 3 model. It indicates that while Tier 1 provided the institutional framework and resources, a smaller, more autonomous group was able to steer certain research efforts according to its own long-term objectives. The fact that these efforts continued with meaningful depth and continuity, rather than being reduced to superficial or propagandistic exercises, supports the view that Tier 3 exercised real influence within the broader SS research structure while maintaining a significant degree of independence from Tier 1's political direction.

The "5000 Books" Claim as a Living Echo of Tier 3's Research Effort

The claim that Moyano studied over 5000 books in order to write *El Misterio de Belicena Villca* is frequently repeated in certain circles. When examined strategically, this figure is best understood not as a literal description of Moyano's personal scholarship, but as a distorted "living echo" of the actual scale of research conducted by Tier 3 and their associated teams.

Several factors support this interpretation:

1. The number itself is unusually high and specific. If the claim were pure invention designed to inflate Moyano's image, a more modest but still impressive figure (such as several hundred or even one or two thousand books) would have been more credible and easier to maintain. The extremity of the number "5000" suggests it originated from something real that was later misremembered or deliberately reassigned.

2. It is historically implausible that Moyano, born in the 1940s and working largely alone from the 1960s through the early 1980s, could have personally read, absorbed, and strategically synthesised five thousand serious works across history, archaeology, esotericism, runology, chorology, metaphysics, and related fields to the level of depth and coherence found in the material. Such an achievement would require not only extraordinary personal capacity, but also decades of institutional access to rare and seized materials — resources Moyano did not possess.

3. The same figure becomes entirely coherent when applied to Tier 3 as a collective. During the SS period, Tier 3 had access to:

    - Systematically seized libraries and archives across occupied Europe
    - The Ahnenerbe and other SS research institutions
    - Teams of Tier 2 specialists
    - Decades of accumulated esoteric and völkisch research stretching back into the early 20th century

Under these conditions, it is entirely plausible that the total volume of material studied across the group and its extended network reached into the thousands of works. When a small, well-resourced team works intensively for over a decade with state-backed authority to acquire and study materials, the collective body of research can easily reach this scale.

When the synthesised results of this long-term collective effort were later passed to Moyano for publication, it is natural that elements of the legend surrounding the research — including the scale of material involved — became attached to him. Over time, particularly as Moyano became the public face of the work, the "5000 books" figure migrated from the original research group to the visible transmitter. This is a common pattern when complex, long-term collective work is later attributed to a single individual.

In this light, the "5000 books" claim functions as a distorted but genuine echo of Tier 3's actual research effort. It does not support the idea that Moyano was the primary intellectual force behind the material. Instead, it reinforces the model that Moyano received and transmitted the results of a much larger, longer, and better-resourced body of work conducted by Tier 3 and their networks.

Otto Rahn as a Historical Example of Tier 2 Asset Management

Otto Rahn serves as one of the clearest available historical examples of how Tier 3 appears to have managed individuals at the Tier 2 level — that is, capable specialists who were given resources and direction but were deliberately kept outside the inner strategic and initiatory circle.

Rahn was brought into the SS and provided with institutional support to conduct research aligned with certain interests of Tier 3 (particularly Cathar and Grail-related material). He was given access to archives, travel, and a degree of protection. However, he was not granted insight into the deeper strategic framework or initiatory dimensions of the work. This reflects a consistent pattern of compartmentalisation, in which even ideologically sympathetic and useful individuals were kept at a controlled distance.

Profile C is understood to have been the primary point of contact and oversight for Rahn. Several factors support this:

- Profile C's greater age and pre-existing connections in esoteric networks made him the natural bridge between older currents and SS research activity.
- Rahn's work on Cathar and Grail material aligned closely with the historical-strategic interests associated with Profile C.

Rahn's later dismissal from the SS in early 1939 and his death later that year remain historically unclear. While it is possible that elements connected to Tier 3 viewed him as a growing liability (due to his public profile, disillusionment, or potential to expose sensitive lines of research), there is no direct evidence that Tier 3 was responsible for his death. His fate could equally have resulted from other pressures within the SS apparatus, external threats, or personal circumstances.

For this reason, Rahn should be used primarily as a working historical example of Tier 3's general approach to asset management — recruitment of useful specialists, controlled compartmentalisation, and strategic use of their skills — rather than as direct proof of Tier 3's ruthlessness in his specific case.

His story illustrates the pattern of how Tier 3 handled Tier 2 individuals, but conclusions about direct responsibility for his death should not be drawn without clearer evidence.

The First Letter to the Elect – Working Theory

The First Letter to the Elect is viewed as containing two distinct voices:

- The first half carries the tone of a final testimony from one of the original authors (most likely Profile A), written with the awareness that he was the last remaining member of the core group. It has a heavy, almost valedictory quality.
- The second half appears to have been completed or framed later, possibly by Moyano after the author's death. The tone shifts noticeably toward a more institutional and organisational register.

This supports the broader hypothesis that at least one of the original authors was still alive as late as 1985, and that Moyano's role became more prominent in the final stages of preparing the material for publication.

The Relationship Between *MBV* and the *Fundamentos*

El Misterio de Belicena Villca (*MBV*) and the *Fundamentos de la Sabiduría Hiperbórea* are understood as two distinct but related layers of the same body of work.

MBV functions primarily as a distorted narrative and mythic layer. It presents the core ideas through story, character, and dramatic structure. While it contains genuine strategic and initiatory content, this content is embedded within a fictionalised context that was left in an unfinished framework and completed by Moyano absent of the living input from Tier 3. The narrative form makes the material more accessible but also introduces distortions, dramatizations, and mythological elements that can obscure the operational core.

The *Fundamentos*, by contrast, represents the operational, metaphysical, and strategic core. It presents the same underlying framework in a more direct, systematic, and technical form. It is here that the noological, chorological, and strategic dimensions are developed with greater precision and less narrative distortion.

The current working approach is to treat the *Fundamentos* as the primary reference text for strategic and operational understanding, while viewing *MBV* as a secondary but still valuable source. Over time, it is intended that the framework developed from the *Fundamentos* will be used to re-examine *MBV*. This process aims to extract and clarify the genuine operational and strategic content that exists within the narrative layer, while identifying and setting aside the purely mythological or dramatized elements.

This relationship is not viewed as one of simple superiority. Rather, *MBV* and the *Fundamentos* are seen as two different modes of transmission — one distorted mythic and one technical — of the same underlying strategic current. The long-term project includes the possibility of producing a clarified synthesis that draws from both while remaining grounded in the operational clarity of the *Fundamentos*.

Tier 3 and the Black Order: Current Working Assessment

Over the course of developing this model, a significant convergence has emerged between what we have been reconstructing as “Tier 3” and what the *Fundamentos* itself describes as the Black Order.

We did not begin by attempting to model the Black Order. The working model of Tier 3 emerged from a more basic investigative question: who could have produced material of this depth, coherence, and strategic character, and how could such a group have continued its work after 1945 with no remaining institutional support?

The profile that resulted — a small, highly compartmentalised, initiatory, and strategically autonomous group operating with significant independence inside the SS — closely matches the functional characteristics the text attributes to the Black Order. This alignment was not imposed. It arose through analysis of the material itself: its internal structure, tonal variations, strategic posture, post-war survival, and the conditions under which it was produced and transmitted.

At this stage, we can reasonably state the following:

The group we have been referring to as “Tier 3” displays the same core characteristics — highly compartmentalised, initiatory, and strategic — that the *Fundamentos* attributes to the Black Order. These are not superficial similarities. They reflect the same underlying operational logic: a closed, security-conscious esoteric current that maintained autonomy even while embedded within larger structures, and that prioritised long-term strategic continuity over institutional visibility or political alignment.

This convergence has several important implications:

- It suggests that what we have been reconstructing is not merely a small post-war research group, but the final active human expression of the deeper esoteric-strategic current the text calls the Black Order.
- It helps explain the extreme degree of autonomy Tier 3 appears to have exercised inside the SS. If the Black Order formed part of the original esoteric nucleus of the SS (as the text claims), then its later

human representatives would have operated from a structurally privileged position rather than as later arrivals seeking influence.
- It accounts for the group's ability to continue its work after 1945 with such coherence. Because their identity and purpose were rooted in the initiatory current rather than in the SS as a political or military institution, they were not dependent on the survival of the regime.
- It aligns with the text's broader framing, in which positive references to figures such as Hitler are framed in strategic and historical terms, while the deeper loyalty and identity are reserved for the esoteric current (the Black Order) rather than National Socialism as a political system.

It is important to be precise about what this does and does not establish. The functional and operational alignment between our Tier 3 model and the text's description of the Black Order is strong. However, we do not yet have sufficient evidence to claim a direct, unbroken institutional continuity between the pre-war Black Order and the post-war group. What we can say is that the characteristics we have attributed to Tier 3 through independent analysis are the same characteristics the *Fundamentos* assigns to the Black Order.

In this sense, our work has moved beyond the interpretation of the text and into the territory of reconstructing a historically elusive current. Outside of the *Fundamentos* itself, there is almost no reliable information about the Black Order as a distinct esoteric entity. Most historical references are either vague or treat "Black Order" as little more than a nickname for the SS. By working backward from the nature of the material and the conditions of its survival, we have developed one of the few coherent, evidence-based models of what the Black Order was in practice — not as a romantic or conspiratorial label, but as a functioning initiatory-strategic group.

This remains a working hypothesis rather than a settled conclusion. However, the convergence is significant enough that it now forms a central organising principle of the model: **Tier 3 is best understood as the post-war human expression of the Black Order current described in the text**.

The Metaphysical Nature of the Order of Tirodal Knights and the Predictable "Moss" Surrounding the Fundamentals

The Order of Tirodal Knights is understood in the model as primarily a metaphysical and strategic order rather than a conventional human organisation with institutional continuity, membership rolls, or visible structures.

According to the Letters to the Elect, the Tirodal Knights are the depositaries of the Hyperborean Wisdom, having received it directly from the Loyal Siddhas of Agartha. The Order is described in terms that suggest it exists beyond normal material and organisational forms. While it may have had human representatives at certain points in history (most notably the small group referred to as Tier 3), its fundamental nature is not dependent on continuous human institutional presence. It is better understood as a strategic and initiatory current that can manifest through individuals or small groups when conditions allow, rather than as a persistent earthly fraternity or secret society in the conventional sense.

This metaphysical character has direct implications for how distortions and reactions around the published material are interpreted.

When a body of work as strategically and metaphysically potent as the *Fundamentos* enters the material world, it is predictable that various forms of reaction, distortion, and parasitism will form around it. These reactions can be understood as a kind of "moss" — opportunistic growths that attach themselves to something genuinely powerful that has manifested in the material plane. This includes:

- Mythologisation and exaggeration around figures involved in the transmission (such as the inflated claims regarding Moyano's personal scholarship)
- The emergence of various groups, interpreters, and self-appointed authorities claiming special access or legitimacy
- The broader cultural and conspiratorial narratives that form around the material, including those that attempt to reduce it to familiar political or ideological categories
- Attempts to either sacralise or demonise the work in ways that serve existing affective or Demiurgic structures

These phenomena are not viewed as surprising or as evidence that the core material has been compromised. Rather, they are seen as a natural and largely inevitable consequence of something originating from beyond the material order manifesting within it. Just as organic matter in a forest will eventually be covered in moss, lichen, and other growths, a work that carries real strategic and initiatory force will tend to attract various forms of cultural, psychological, and organisational overgrowth once it becomes publicly available.

Because the *Fundamentos* operates according to a different temporal logic than ordinary historical or organisational projects, these surrounding reactions are considered secondary. The core strategic value of the work is not dependent on maintaining a clean or controlled public image, nor on preventing the formation of distorted interpretations. What matters is that the essential framework remains accessible to those capable of recognising and working with it, regardless of what grows around it in the material plane over time.

This perspective supports a strategic posture that focuses on the integrity of the work itself and the development of personal and operational capacity, rather than on defending or purifying the public or organisational forms that inevitably form around it.

Implications for Reading the Material

This working hypothesis is not offered merely as an alternative historical reconstruction. Its primary value lies in the way it changes how the source material itself can be approached.

When the *Fundamentos* is understood as the product of a coherent strategic-initiatory current rather than the work of a single individual frivolously expanding upon the themes of an eccentric, wartime project, several consequences follow:

- The material can be treated as a living foundation that was designed to be worked with and continued, rather than as a closed or purely historical document.
- It was produced under the pressure of the original (**Tier 3**) group aging and dying out. As a result, it was deliberately shaped to stand on its own — without further institutional support or living intermediaries — so that it could reach those capable of recognising and developing it further.
- Distortions, mythologisation, and the presence of secondary figures (including Moyano) become expected features of transmission rather than grounds for dismissing the core framework.
- The serious reader is invited to engage the texts with greater strategic seriousness and less dependence on the personality-driven narratives that have grown around them.

In this light, the hypothesis serves as a lens. It does not replace the source material. It aims to clear away the more obstructive layers of the public narrative so that the material can be read on terms closer to those under which it was produced.

Conclusion

The working model presented in this document offers a more coherent explanation of the *Fundamentos* and related material than the prevailing public narratives. It accounts for the intellectual depth of the work, the evidence of multiple specialised voices, the instrumental use of SS institutions, and the successful transmission of a complex body of knowledge across the rupture of 1945.

A significant convergence has emerged between the reconstructed Tier 3 group and the functional characteristics that the texts themselves attribute to the Black Order. While this alignment does not yet establish unbroken institutional continuity, it suggests that the group under consideration represents the final human expression of the deeper esoteric-strategic current described in the material.

Uncertainties remain, particularly regarding the less clearly defined profiles and the precise degree of continuity with earlier currents. Nevertheless, the model provides a clearer basis for working with the texts strategically and historically — one that neither inflates Moyano's personal role nor reduces the work to the visible eccentricities of the wartime leadership.

What matters is the integrity of the framework itself and the capacity of those who can recognise and develop it further.

M. V. Hartmann
August 2026